[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: 16 September 2026 12:18
To: Crown Casework
Cc: calum.miller.mp@parliament.uk; wpwsobjection@gmail.com
Subject: Please have the decency to actually read this rather than palming it off to someone else. Re: the planning application PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843, Site A, MOD Bicester.

[redacted]

[redacted]

Dear Secretary of State,

This not just the generic public copy. I have done my personal research and included references.

I am writing as a member of the public to object to planning application PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843, the proposed 1,256-person asylum accommodation centre at Site A, MOD Bicester, Bicester Garrison, Launton Road, Oxfordshire, OX25 1AE.

I ask that planning permission be refused.

I cannot over emphasize enough that the Male Crime Percentages are of ALL MEN NOT JUST ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS OR FOREIGNERS!

FYI: I do not condone Attacking/abusing migrants or those who look like them, it is abhorrent. I do not support the far right yobs.

Comprehensive Impact and Demographic Risk Assessment: Proposed Piddington Asylum Center being forced on Local Villages and what we can statistically receive into the neighbourhood.

Criminals don’t advertise so you can’t remove them all!! I’d argue you’ll only remove the stupid ones.

1. Demographic and Offense Baselines (1,250-Man Cohort)

Statistical scaling applied to a general cohort of 1,250 adult males provides the following demographic and criminal record baselines:

General Criminal Record History: Approximately 25% to 33% lifetime prevalence, equating to roughly 313 to 413 individuals holding some form of past criminal history or caution over their lives (Ministry of Justice, 2024; Unlock, 2024).

Thieves / Property Offenders: 20% to 25% lifetime prevalence, equating to approximately 250 to 310 individuals.

Violent Individuals: 10% to 15% lifetime prevalence, equating to approximately 125 to 190 individuals.

Pedophiles (Clinical Attraction Prevalence): 1% to 3%, equating to approximately 12 to 38 individuals based on epidemiological paraphilic prevalence studies.

Sexual Offenders: 1% to 1.8% lifetime conviction rate, equating to approximately 12 to 23 individuals.

Rapists: 0.2% to 0.5% lifetime conviction rate, equating to approximately 2 to 6 individuals (Lisak & Miller, 2002; Koss et al., 1987).

Murderers: 0.02% to 0.05% lifetime conviction rate, equating to approximately 0 to 1 individual.

Religious Extremists: 0.003% to 0.01% national security tracking rate (statistically negligible).

Serial Killers: Less than 0.0001% (statistically 0).

2. Property Value Impact

Based on econometric research evaluating rural infrastructure projects:

Percentage Reduction: Nearby high-capacity asylum centers correlate with a 3% to 9% reduction in local property values (Dröes & Koster, 2019; Koster, 2023).

Application to Piddington (~£82.5 Million Baseline Housing Stock):
3% drop: Approximately £2.48 million total decrease (Dröes & Koster, 2019).
6% to 9% drop: Approximately £4.95 million to £7.43 million total decrease (Dröes & Koster, 2019; Koster, 2023).

3. Hate Crime, and Civil Unrest

Police-Recorded Volume: Racially motivated offenses account for over 70% of all recorded hate crimes in England and Wales, with race hate crimes increasing by 6% annually (Home Office, 2025).

Civil Unrest Surges: Anti-immigration protests and public disorder generate sharp, immediate spikes in recorded race incidents, including record monthly highs during recent civil unrest (Home Office, 2025; USDAW, 2025).

Collateral Spillover: Heightened public focus on immigration correlates with broader harassment and public order offenses directed at visible ethnic minority residents, irrespective of their legal or citizenship status (O'Nions, 2010).

4. Social Polarisation and Community Cohesion

Community Friction: Centralised placement decisions and a lack of local consultation generate acute community tensions, local protests, and social polarisation. We have already seen arguments on Piddingtons facebook over who did/said what.

Public Sphere Fracturing: Social polarisation fractures the public sphere into rigid, low-trust "us versus them" camps, increasing friction and civic division (Sociological definitions of social polarisation; local impact assessments, 2026).

References

Dröes, M. I., & Koster, H. R. A. (2019). The effect of asylum seeker reception centers on nearby house prices. *Journal of Housing Economics*, 46, 101658.

Koster, H. R. A. (2023). A World Divided: Refugee Centers, House Prices, and Household Preferences. *Journal of Economic Geography*, 23(1), 51–90.

Home Office. (2025). *Hate crime, England and Wales, year ending March 2025*. GOV.UK.

USDAW. (2025). *Hate Crime Statistics for 2024–2025*. Together Against Hate.

O'Nions, H. (2010). Exploring links between asylum policy and hate crime in the UK. Nottingham Trent University Research Online.

Ministry of Justice. (2024). *First Time Entrants and Offender Histories*. GOV.UK.

Unlock. (2024). *Key Facts: Number of People with Criminal Records*. Unlock Policy Issues.

Lisak, D., & Miller, P. M. (2002). Repeat Rape and Multiple Offending Among Undetected Rapists. *Violence and Victims*, 17(1), 73–84.

Koss, M. P., Gidycz, C. A., & Wisniewski, N. (1987). The scope of rape: Incidence and prevalence of sexual aggression and victimization in a national sample of higher education students. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 55(2), 162–170.

Frankly I'd rather you spent money on building infrastructure out in moors or islands and they be detained but with their own shops. It'll cost more but if supplies are coming from local businesses it could mitigate some of the costs.

I also have concerns about the completeness of the evidence submitted with the application. Important ecological and technical work remains outstanding, and significant questions remain around fire safety, ground conditions and the suitability of the site.

I am particularly concerned that ground contamination has not been fully investigated. Previous land-quality work identified potential contamination issues, yet no borehole investigation has been carried out to resolve those risks.

There are also significant flooding and drainage concerns. Parts of the site are at high risk of surface-water flooding and aspects of the drainage strategy remain unresolved.

The transport evidence also raises serious questions. The Transport Assessment repeatedly refers to the wrong road, while the B4011 serving the site has limited pedestrian infrastructure and a recorded history of serious collisions.

The application has not demonstrated a confirmed permanent solution for essential infrastructure such as electricity and wastewater. I do not believe a development accommodating up to 1,256 people should be approved while such fundamental matters remain unresolved.

The proposal is also exceptionally large for an isolated rural location with little surrounding infrastructure, limited public transport and few services within walking distance.

I am concerned that the extremely short representation period does not provide sufficient time for residents, councils and technical specialists to properly examine such a substantial and complicated application. The application documents run to well over 1,000 pages across multiple technical disciplines, and it is not reasonable to expect them to be read and properly considered in so short a time. Ordinary planning applications carry a minimum 21 day period, and the standard Crown Development route prescribes 21 days at every stage, so I ask that the representation period be extended to at least 21 days from 7 September 2026, to Monday 28 September 2026, since the 21st day falls on a Sunday.

This site has also previously been considered for asylum accommodation. A smaller proposal was refused by an independent Planning Inspector before subsequently being cancelled.

Taken together, these issues mean I do not believe the applicant has demonstrated that Site A is a suitable location for a development of this scale.

I therefore respectfully request that planning permission be refused.

If the Secretary of State is nevertheless minded to approve the application, I ask that no decision is made until outstanding work concerning drainage, flooding, wastewater, contamination, ecology, highways, pedestrian safety, power supply, lighting, noise, fire safety and decommissioning has been completed and made available.

[redacted]

[redacted]

Sent from my iPad